



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/581,760

06/05/2006

Thomas Bogdahn

52201-0650

6589

28481 7590 06/17/2009  
TIAJOLOFF & KELLY  
CHRYSLER BUILDING, 37TH FLOOR  
405 LEXINGTON AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NY 10174

EXAMINER

SZEWCZYK, CYNTHIA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1791

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/17/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/581,760	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOGDAHN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> CYNTHIA SZEWCZYK	<b>Art Unit</b> 1791	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CYNTHIA SZEWCZYK. (3) DREW TIAJOLOFF.

(2) CARLOS LOPEZ. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: BOGDAHN and YAMAMURA.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that BOGDAHN in view of YAMAMURA failed to teach step c of claim 1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ Carlos Lopez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791	
--	--